UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,296	07/06/2005	Zhenghe Han	931A 3750 PCT	6638
	7590 07/27/200 . U rquhart Oliver & He	EXAMINER		
Koda/Androlia 10th Floor 865 S. Figueroa Street			WARTALOWICZ, PAUL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, C.		1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,296	HAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	PAUL A. WARTALOWICZ	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeliation Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the see that the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the see that in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate analy set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloge) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second s	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorresponding number of finally reje	cied ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) chiested to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Stanley Silverman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the plasma sputtering technique of the claimed invention does not remove material but smooths the surface, and changes the material structure or reduces defects in the material in contrast to the prior art. However, there is no evidence on the record that shows a difference in material removed by the particle beam of the current invention and the particle beam of the prior art. Additionally, it appears that smoothing out the surface being bomarded by the particle beam of the claimed invention would result in some material being removed from said surface. It is maintained that there is not a structural difference between the particle beam of the prior art and the particle beam of the claimed invention. Additionally, some plasma sputtering processes etch the material being bombarded. A limitation requiring that the plasma sputtering process does not remove material from the surface that is bomarded is a limitation that is not claimed. Additionally, it appears that the particle beams of the prior art have an energy within the claimed energy values such that the particle beams of the prior art have energy to increase the smoothness of the material and to change the microstructure.

Continuation of 13. Other: The rejection is maintained for the reasons set forth in the record.